

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/711,079	BURDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Steven R. Garland	2125	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Steven R. Garland.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Rahman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 December 2006

**Time:** morning

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1,2,4,7,8,38,39,9,40,16,42,23,24,28,29,44, and 45*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the examiner proposed to make certain changes to the claims by examiner's amendment to place the case in better form for allowance. Mr. Rahman agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the attached examiner' amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)